

**Warrenton Wheeler Gainesville 230 kV Reliability Project  
Open House Consolidated Feedback Report  
Gainesville, September 16, 2013; Warrenton, September 19, 2013; Bealeton, September 25, 2013  
Warrenton, November 20, 2013; Manassas, November 21, 2013  
Comments Received from Feedback Forms, Emails, US Mail, Transcript and Team Members  
FOR EXTERNAL USE**

<b>Feedback Topic: Planning and Project Need</b>			
<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Form	My preferences are: #1 option A #2 option C Not in favor of Option B
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	We need to build it so that it will last for another 30 or 50 years, you know, for the planning of expansion as opposed to just a quick fix. But I do think we need reliable power for this area. And they keep building houses like crazy. We are going to need probably all three of these plans eventually.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	The Project Need is clear and well presented.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	I have carefully looked at future projections and reliability concerns and do not feel that they demonstrate a need for this project.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	I'm sure the need is there. I just don't want those lines 50 feet from my house.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I am concerned that we are asked to accept additional electricity coming through our county that is actually being shipped off to other counties and states. I don't mind helping neighboring counties but not New York or Boston.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I was here when they (Dominion) went through this exercise back in the 80s with the same route but DOT brought it to a halt because of Vint Hill. That's not going to happen now but still needs to have real meaningful input from the public.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	Why is 120' easement needed?
N/A	Sept. 2013	Form / US Mail	Not convinced of the need for this project. If it is needed, the least destructive option should be chosen (i.e., re-build existing lines or if new ROW needed, these should be underground).
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Clear and well explained.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Need for better notification.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Better community notification. Grapewood Estates residents were not notified.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Would like more to know about all route alternatives with enough time to discuss them before the open house events. Without doing so, the community feels the project is forced. This is particularly unattractive to residents within Prince William County that do not gain from any of this development.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Project need is mainly support for Warrenton and Gainesville growth. Keep the infrastructure close to the true need.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	There is a need to expand the power grid. We continue to use more power and this will require a better grid.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	Take issue with large lines going through their property, as it was unexpected (unknown). Team members will visit the property.

<b>Feedback Topic: Regulatory Approval Process – State Corporation Commission</b>			
<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I wish that when this is submitted and reviewed by the SCC, that they would take an objective analysis into the viability of the proposal and the true cost of the ROW acquisition as well as letting the public see the true cost analysis. This will bring the house values down substantially and, in this market, that cost is too high. Dominion needs to have a peer review of their cost analysis.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	How long does this process take? What if any recourse is there for appeal? What happens if we refuse to give an easement?
N/A	Sept. 2013	Form / US Mail	Process is not transparent.
<b>Feedback Topic: Process Overview – Community Advisory Group</b>			
<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	I wish I had known that this process was going on and how I could provide input to the process. I somehow missed any information in the Fauquier paper or in NOVEC materials.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I would like to be involved in any further advisory councils or other community meetings. <i>(no contact information provided)</i>
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Dominion sought input from representatives of the community in seeking solutions directed toward the project need.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Inadequate representation from Prince William County. Did the Advisory Group rule out certain options, or did Dominion Power do this on their own?
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	More Prince William County residents should be selected/invited onto CAG. Developed Option C without adequate representation of Rural Crescent residents.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Not enough effort made to notify local residents of the addition of Option C. Dominion should notify more residents in the vicinity of projects, not just those “directly impacted.” If the lines are visible to residents from their homes, they should be notified.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	It would be best to have structures underground whenever possible and not disturb the forest areas. In addition, it’s visually unappealing.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Do not want to see trees destroyed.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	There is a large developer, Brookside, in the Vint Hill area who will continue developing through the next few years, which has affected and depleted forestry in the area. These lines will also affect the wetland animals in the Vint Hill area.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Go underground.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Please be careful.
<b>Feedback Topic: Structures</b>			
<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>

Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I'm from the Sumerduck area of Fauquier County. I have a good deal of concern regarding more towers in the county due to business (community oriented fly space company) and aesthetic reasons. I have a lot of concern for more towers and taller towers – how it will limit community-oriented businesses (above) and how it will look. Dominion added towers several years ago and it radically changed our landscape. I don't want to see that again. Now you have to drive 1-1/2 hours to no longer see the towers. It might help if the towers are brown (blend with trees rather than sky) instead of tall and white.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	We currently have a 115 pole in our yard. My split rail fence will have to be removed and rebuilt. Also, I would like to get a galvanized pole so it does not rust. The ones on 234 were put up and within a couple of weeks were completely covered in rust. I'm not so worried about the temporary line but would like to have the main structure outside of my yard. (Option B)
N/A	Sept. 2013	Form / US Mail	Above-ground structures are an eye-sore and are very disruptive.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	No preference.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Wind mills.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Prefer H frames – less overbearing, better aesthetics.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	The structures are what is needed to support increased power transmission.
<b>Feedback Topic: Option A</b>			
<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Form	As a property owner of Acorn Farm on 215, I am concerned with our main well that is located on the front of the farm and serves all the barns and homes on the farm – we would prefer the line to be put on Vint Hill – across the road.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	Option A seems to be the most practical from an electrical distribution point of view. Option A is not currently recommended according to the power company because of right-of-way issues particularly in Fauquier County.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	I am opposed to Routes A-2 and A-3 in Option A. I am directly affected by Routes A-2 and A-3 in Option A as the proposed routes are within 500 feet of the front of my house. In general, Option A affects a large amount of developed area. If it had been conceived ten years earlier, this option would be more feasible.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Do NOT want this option. There are too many encroachments on private property, too many impacts on critical ecological and historic resources; and unsafe for the children using the Kettle Run High School/Greenville Elementary School Complex. There are also potential impacts with the critical Vint Hill FAA facility that provides regional air traffic control.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	This option runs through the woods right off my property on Edington in Warrenton. Not happy with this obviously, who would want that?
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	A1, A2, & A3 all cross the only entrance/exit to Kettle Run High School and Greenville Elementary School. If something were to happen to the overhead wires in front of the schools, then the children are “trapped”. There is only one entrance/exit to these schools.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	I oppose this option because it passes less than 500ft from numerous residential properties (including the underground option). I am concerned about the potential health risks to my family as these are not well known.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Opposed to Option A. You should fix existing system to try and preserve undisturbed area.

Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	The overhead project would not be doable on our property (on Vint Hill Road) due to the horses that we make our livelihood from. A gas line is currently going through it so I understand underground. Across the road, on Acorn Farm, there is a well that serves the entire farm, the houses and the livestock. We are concerned about the well water in the case of underground and overhead lines.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	No – Has historic houses and affects too many people.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	Option A is not a good option for the underground power line. It goes less than 500ft. from numerous residences and, even though it is underground, I have concerns about potential health risks. I, along with various neighbors, am concerned about undefined and unknown health risks. It would be better to have it placed away from densely populated areas, even if costs are higher.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	A2 would bisect my property and it would destroy the value of this property. I have worked with Federal OSHA and am aware of some problems with electro-magnetic forces from the lines. I am concerned about my neighbor's children. My wife and I have looked into subdividing land (12 acres) and with the economy the way it is, have not been able to move it. I feel that this would make the land unsaleable.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	I believe there are better options than plan A which cuts through my property as well as across or near the battlefield area. The option going along the highway would be a better plan. I'm also concerned about the underground option not being a real option. I am discouraged about what I heard today and think that it should be underground if it goes through a housing area, or along route 29 so it is not intrusive to homeowners.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	We are affected by Option A2. We have a 14.5 acre property off of the proposed route, and within 80 feet over the top of the house and half way in the middle of the property. The route would intersect our property from all directions across our open field. The property was purchased with the intention to build additional houses and the power lines would make it impossible to build and sell those houses. We are concerned about the safety of the children with the 70 ft. poles and electrical lines as the kids ride their ATV's and four wheelers on the property. This option affects more landowners and that even though it is the less expensive route, it will affect too many homeowners.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Verbal	Option A3. Landowner located near Hope Lane and Highway 605 stated that a tower is planned on the NW corner of his tract which is currently wooded. He would like to avoid the clearing of trees on his property and he noted that access to that potential tower location would be difficult as the ground is steeply sloping down to Highway 605. Placing the pole farther to the north would provide a more level location that could be more easily accessed.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I feel that option A affects more properties than the other two options so it isn't the way to go. It would cut my property in half and cutting the corner out, as well as coming out of the top part. This will limit the future development that I had intended.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I'm impacted by both routes A & C as it leaves the Wheeler substation. One of the proposed routes bisects my property and (that of) my two neighbors, each of us with ten acres a piece. One of the routes comes across the middle of all three of our properties and that is unacceptable. I wish this were being reviewed by the SCC and that they would take an objective analysis into the viability of the proposal and the true cost of the ROW acquisition as well as letting the public see the true cost analysis. This will bring the house values down substantially and in this market that cost is too high.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	Desperately opposed to Option A as it would go through his property and they were the first subdivision in Fauquier County. There is a gas substation currently on my property and old gas lines buried. I feel that B and C are far superior plans and not just because it eliminates my property due to having the right of way already.

Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	Option A1 & A3? The route that runs along Albrecht Lane, and we live on that gas pipeline. The easement already runs through the property. They're looking at putting in an electric trunk line, taking out the driveway, which is my only access and egress from my house and then I will end up with an electric line about 35-40 feet from my family room. If they go 30ft the other way, they're about the same distance but a bit farther from the nearest neighbor. They are destroying my way of life and the value of my home. We will not be able to house the horses and animals that we have and that was what we're planning on living on. I also have safety issues and concerns. There is adequate evidence to cause concern about health effects. I get my power from NOVEC and do not have issues with them. To do this for Dominion (stabilize power grid) is unconscionable. I would like someone to actually look at the property and see what they are doing to my home.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	We would be adversely affected by this option
N/A	Sept. 25, 2013	Email	My husband and I are opposed to Option A and its routes because of the impact to residential and conservation areas.
N/A	Sept. 30, 2013	Email	Does not want power lines....please think of an alternative to running through our beautiful community which is full of families and young children.... (Brookside resident)
N/A	Oct. 8, 2013	Email	In response to your query for citizen's feedback, I am providing specific obstacles to Options A-2, A-3 and Option A/Underground, as they relate to the Brookside residential neighborhood in New Baltimore. These are in addition to your already stated obstacles of why Option A is not recommended: disturbing historic battlefield sites, existing residential neighborhoods, and government protected land and easements.
N/A	Nov. 2013	Form / US Mail	The overhead structure option is the most objectionable. It would destroy completely tree cover on my property and significantly depress the value of the entire property. If this route is chosen, underground is the only logical choice. This is a pristine rural residential area.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Some properties are already affected by natural gas lines and substations.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	If Option A is chosen, then we prefer the underground line be selected. The overhead line option would be close to schools and wells off Rogues Road.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Seems too expensive to go underground and overhead crosses wetlands, battlefield and too many houses.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Concern that the above-ground options affect historic areas and conservation easements. Additionally, the overhead routes affect tree canopy and habitat areas as well as a significant amount of developed areas. This project should have been anticipated and included in those development plans.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Underground option makes this section irrelevant. However, H Frames seem less overbearing.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Our concerns are the proximity of these lines to our schools and water sources. We also ask to be kept notified of all future developments regarding this project.
Unknown	Unknown	Form	This one will not get done because of the high cost.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Underground route would look better and be less unsightly.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Underground and out of sight would be the most preferable option. Concern with proximity to two schools, and the water source. Why are they cutting through people's property when there is open area adjacent?
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Overhead and underground lines are within 1/2 - 3/4 mile of the FAA.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	We greatly oppose this option as it will impact numerous homeowners, schools, FAA, historical assets, and environmental impacts. This option should not be considered.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	I am wondering if Dominion has considered polling the ratepayers in regards to the underground route. As a ratepayer, I would gladly pay slightly higher rates to not have additional overhead lines constructed in any community.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Why bother calling this an "option?" It's clearly "not recommended" and Dominion Power representatives described it as "off the table." It's insulting to people to keep it on display and in discussions.

Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Using existing pipeline route seems to be less intrusive than all other plans. Prefer it to stay along road and out of front yards.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	This is not the recommended option. As such it should be labeled as Option C. When this goes to the State Commission, the recommendation should be listed as the most preferred to the least preferred. The preferred option should be listed as Option A. This option requires a whole new right-of-way for the overhead line. I strongly object to this option.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	All options in Option A seem viable and should be submitted to the SCC. How this made it out of Fauquier County we may never know.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Would like an opportunity to remain involved in planning the "A" Option.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Verbal	Resident felt certain that the line was not originally on their property and believe it was moved onto their property after meetings with other neighbors.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	Can plan A be re-routed along the property boundary lines, as opposed to bisecting it? We run a boarding facility on our property and are concerned about the dogs and the noise the lines will make.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	There are woods, a pond, wetlands, a well and septic. Also concerned about value of their home and believe they were chosen due to the low population area they live in.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Verbal	They wanted to know if we could push the line along their east property line 30' closer onto church property to retain the tree buffer to protect their business.
Manassas	Nov. 21, 2013	Form	Would like to know what Dominion's efforts were to survey local ratepayers regarding rate increases to support investment in Option A underground lines. Neighbors we've heard from would accept a rate increase to have underground lines.

**Feedback Topic: Option B**

<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Form	We have a forest in our backyard and thus do not support a "wreck and rebuild" Option B. Against as it would require removal of mature trees.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	I live right along the line (runs to the left of my house) and my concern is the effect that it would have on my property for resale; noise, size of the lines going there, the poles going there and taking additional property. All I can say, I guess, is that I wish there was another avenue that they would take over and above the B option. The B option would have an impact on my property.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	My suggestion is to leave the power line where it's at. Why cut another right-of-way to destroy more trees and open up more properties? What I understand is that you-all can do your upgrades on the existing right-of-way.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	Option B, right-of-way easement issues don't exist because everything associated with proposal B is already covered by the easements that the power companies require. So there's no surprises to the citizens or counties or agencies regarding the power company's right to construct or upgrade their transmission structures. But at the end of the day, option B seems the most attractive proposal because it has the least impact on surrounding facilities and citizens' properties.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	So I think I am near the B option. I think I'm in favor of the one where they build the new substation on Vint Hill.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Seems to have a much lower impact on developed areas.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I have a private air strip that has been existence for 30 years. The power lines are adjacent to my property, the existing power line on plan B. By putting these in and increasing the height it will close the airport and I'm concerned about that, whether it will be widening the easement to keep the air strip open
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	We would not be affected.

Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Could go with, reluctant to though.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Please explain this option more clearly as it appears a short term fix in terms of anticipated future development.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	I strongly recommend Option B. This option seems to be less problematic than the other options in that it uses existing right-of-ways.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Strongly support. Use existing right of ways and disturb the fewest amount of residents of the area. This option is clearly the least disruptive to currently undisturbed areas. No need for a new line corridor.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	Option B seems to be a bit more troublesome, due to the fact that it would require a larger wreck and rebuild and significantly impact all of the communities in the right-of-way.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I think underground would be the best. Above ground is terrible for the environment. I think it's unsightly. If we had an option, I guess I would choose option B because the power needs have increased and maybe, with the new technology, it will have a lesser impact on the environment.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I would support Option B, rather than disturb currently undisturbed areas. There's no need for a new line corridor if you can wreck and rebuild existing infrastructure.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I support Option B. Use existing right-of-ways. I'm opposed to option C1 and C2.
Manassas	Nov. 21, 2013	Form	Strongly support Option B- requires no additional property owners to be impacted and will disturb less residents.

**Feedback Topic: Option C**

<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Form	Support Option C.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	My recommendation would be that there would be less impact to my community where I live if they went with option C.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	Option C as proposed is going through a significant pocket of the existing Rural Crescent in Prince William County. Some of the routing of both options in C go through a pocket of woodland, which I don't necessarily believe needs to happen.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	I'm building a house and the roof trusses are due to go up this Saturday. There are no maps online that show the clearing for the house or the house as it exists. It looks like a wooded lot. Dominion was not aware of that, and I can understand why. The reaction from Dominion was good.  I sat with Dominion and NRG and suggested a couple of other routes. It seems that there is a lot of pasture land out here. It seems that Route C1 was almost an intentional go through pasture land and heads straight for the stand of trees to take down.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Seems to have a much lower impact on developed areas.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	If this option is chosen, please consider a small contribution to the County Parks and Rec. The line passes over the planned extension of the Warrenton Branch Greenway. During "wreck and rebuild" consider contributing right of way, grading and construction of that section of the trail.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Transcript	If option chosen, please consider contributing to part of the Warrenton Branch Greenway trail, which is a proposed trail extension that the county has been working on.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Repair old lines or replace them. Already have the alley to get from A to B.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Would affect less people and be most cost effective.

Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Verbal	Option C2 – A possible cemetery may be present east of Greenwich Road and Payne Lane. The tract has a sub-tract wholly contained within the tract which Lori Payne stated could be indicative that the landowner has established a private cemetery on the property.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Verbal	If possible, follow the road along the property line rather than run the line through the middle of the property.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	Our preference is C, either C1 or C2, both agree that these are good options.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	Option C would run right through the middle of our house and our barn, cutting it in half and would devastate us. We have a business and a special permit to operate a topsoil business and we're required by Fauquier County to keep the trees up as a buffer and if the lines come through it will destroy our buffer and put us out of business. Also, the value of the property will be cut significantly. We have had the property for thirty years and don't want to go out of business. I just talked to a gentleman from Dominion and he said they would probably try to go around us.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Transcript	I'm impacted by both routes A & C as it leaves the Wheeler substation. One of the proposed routes bisects my property and (that of) my two neighbors, each of us with ten acres a piece. One of the routes comes across the middle of all three of our properties and that is unacceptable. I wish this were being reviewed by the SCC and that they would take an objective analysis into the viability of the proposal and the true cost of the ROW acquisition as well as letting the public see the true cost analysis. This will bring the house values down substantially and in this market that cost is too high.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	We would be adversely affected by this option – please see my comments on the attached letter.
N/A	Sept. 2013	Form / US Mail	The overhead structure option is the most objectionable. It would destroy completely tree cover on my property and significantly depress the value of the entire property. If this route is chosen, underground is the only logical choice. This is a pristine rural residential area.
N/A	Dated Nov. 18, 2013	Letter via US Mail	We recently discovered that the C-1 option severely impacts our home. Of note was that no one from Dominion or NOVEC made contact to discuss this possibility, and given the tremendous impact on our health, welfare and financial security, it is appalling treatment. C-1 follows our eleven plus acres as close as it possibly can, bringing the project 175 feet from our house - with the right of way, it would be 125 feet. This would severely limit the sale of our house. Ignored are the hundreds of acres directly behind us where the lines could be placed away from the proximity of our house and more out of sight. That land is owned by someone else. I would like to thank Carla Picard who made time in her busy schedule to come to our home after the email exchange. <b>She viewed the topography and fully understood the possibility of locating the project away from our house. I would invite anyone from Dominion Power and the State Corporation to do the same, as well as members of the press.</b>
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	I feel from an economical view, this disturbs fewer people and uses existing right-of-ways.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Option C.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Seems most suitable.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Current preference would be the upper Option C1. This route would impact the fewest number of residential homes.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	This seems like the better option for me but that is because they won't do "A."
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Blind-sided by "Option C" only after CAG pushed it on Prince William County. No Option C - publicized/proposed too late in the process.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	C1 seems most practical and cost effective. I live in Vint Hill and already have a substation and the FAA.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	I prefer option C1. It has less impact within the "Rural Crescent" and seems to impact fewer land and homeowners. However, both options seem unreasonable to the residents of Prince William County.

Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Strongly oppose option C2 – it completely disrupts the Rural Crescent. C2 disturbs more residences than C1. C2 cuts way too closely to actual residences within parcels, try placing lines in empty areas of land with no buildings. See option B.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	This is the other option that should be considered - rebuild and lower towers.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	This should be the preferred option. There is already an approved pathway. It only requires an additional 20 feet of right-of-way.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Verbal	Mentioned an artesian well on their property and would probably find civil war artifacts.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	Dislike option C2. It goes right through our property. I prefer you do the wreck and rebuild option. In this age of recycling we should use what we already have in existing property and right-of-ways. Foolish and illogical to demolish property lines that exist when there is an alternative.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	We would prefer to see Option C selected by the committee, even though it allows a lot more options in terms of providing electricity to local citizens in the case of a disaster, as they could tap separate nodes.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	Live within 100 feet of the line. I'd really like to see if there are any other alternatives, to avoid having that tower in my backyard. It's plan C2.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I'm trying to sell the property. I don't know if that's going to affect the sale of the property or not. (C2) We had somebody that signed a contract, and we had it all set up to sell. Whether he found this out, I don't know, but he backed out for whatever reason. And we're probably required to tell people what's going on.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I support Option B rather than disturb currently undisturbed areas. I am strongly opposed to Option C2. The right-of-way is 81 feet from my property, very close to my actual home. Please consider moving the lines further back, to the backside of the adjacent property, rather than close to my home.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I'm opposed to Option C1 and C2.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	I am concerned with the C1 route as it comes very close to my house, within 175 feet of my house. I'm 70 years old, and I'm counting on the sale of my house to pay for my transition into a retirement community at some point in time. The line could be re-routed on the lot behind me. Spoke with someone at Dominion, who came to look at his property. He said they agreed and that it would be considered in project planning. Also believes that [routing the line along] adjacent property belonging to his neighbor is more convenient for his neighbor than for him.
Manassas	Nov. 21,2013	Form	Option C2 runs less than 100 yards from our front door and ruins the property and the value of the property. It removes the esoteric value for which we purchased the property.
Manassas	Nov. 21,2013	Form	Strongly opposed to Option C2 based on its negative impact to the Rural Crescent and it being too close to our home. Strong preference for option B. However, if Option C is selected, please use Option C1, as it appears to affect less residences. If C2 is chosen, please move the line further away from our home by moving it further NW through the empty area, even if it is moved just 100 feet or so.
Warrenton	Dated Nov. 30, 2013	Letter via US Mail	Against the C2 alternative. It would cause property devaluation and woodland/forestry demolition. Radio and television reception is negatively impacted. Potential health hazard. Resale will be difficult because power lines are highly visible. C1 alternative is more economical, shorter, less destructive to the environment and offers much better resource conservation.

N/A	Dated Nov. 30, 2013	Letter via US Mail	<p>The upgrading of power line structures on an existing right-of-way will have a significantly lower impact on the public. Creating a new right-of-way in this instance will cause property devaluation and woodland/forestry demolition to all landowners affected by this C-2 alternative proposal.</p> <p>The C-2 alternative will have a negative impact on the environment. Radio and television reception is negatively impacted. Potential health hazard. The property owner will lose control of his property. The owner will always need to obtain approval from Dominion to encroach the right-of-way.</p> <p>The resale and assessed value of property will drop significantly due to an adjacent newly-created right-of-way and construction of new overhead high power lines (possibly as much as 25 to 35%).</p> <p>In reading through the many and varied articles on your websites as set up and maintained by yourself, I find many articles wherein Dominion is quite proud of their ability to protect the environment.</p> <p>Additionally, you give great commendation to your established programs and plans as you work to consider the protection of species and habitats, economic prosperity, climate change, resource consecration, recycling and waste management, all items of great interest and concern to individual citizens.</p> <p>As a corporate entity, you set forth your citizenship qualities of integrity, accountability and responsibility. In summary, we are "AGAINST" the "C-2" alternative. We understand that the "C-1" alternative is more economical, shorter, less destructive to the environment and offers much better resource conservation. From our understanding of your qualities as a corporate entity, "C-2" is not in line with your statements of citizenship as set forth above.</p>
N/A	Dated Dec. 10, 2013	Letter via US Mail	<p>The Board of Directors of the Vint Hill Manor Homeowners' Association is contacting you in reference to the proposed service improvements through Prince William and Fauquier County. Specifically, this letter is to address Dominion Powers' application to the State Corporation Commission on the Warrenton Wheeler Gainesville 230kV Reliability Project. It is our understanding that no application has been made to date, and the Board wishes to express in advance support of Option C-1, which will utilize a section of existing right-of-way with potentially lower costs to consumers.</p>

**Other Feedback**

<b>Open House</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Feedback Type</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	I've got information. I've got contact numbers. I have requested that I'm not looking for real time changes, but I certainly want to keep up with this more than the average person in the public, I think. And I got good feedback, good response. We'll see what happens with follow-through and follow-up.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	I appreciate the power company's information and willingness to accept input.
Gainesville	Sept. 16, 2013	Transcript	It doesn't have to be underground, overhead is fine if that will save some money. I am also interested in where the money will come from (tax?), how they plan to pay for this.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	The effects of the various options on developed areas should be a significant consideration in alternative selection. The Dominion employee I talked with did a great job explaining the process to me.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	One buys a lot based on views and the lay of the land. I live next to a 100 year Flood plan and told no development will ever be there and now I get to stare at 100 ft. power towers.
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Form	Outstanding job setting up this information session. The staff was friendly and highly knowledgeable. Thanks!
Warrenton	Sept. 19, 2013	Verbal	Landowner identified the Battle of Grapewood farm and associated grave sites on a flag-shaped parcel off Rogues Road between Finch Lane and Moonlight Court. He noted a General Mosby Historical marker sign in the area and he believes the battle site includes the flag shaped parcel of interest.
Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	Informed and pleasant presenters.

Bealeton	Sept. 25, 2013	Form	We appreciate the opportunity to meet with your staff to discuss option routes and help finding closest routes to our property.
N/A	Sept. 2013	Form / US Mail	Do not choose the most destructive method (overhead). This will irrevocably degrade the entire area. It is grossly unfair to destroy people's property to serve the interests of developers.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Like the last open house, Dominion representatives were knowledgeable, willing to hear feedback and helpful to me. No contact necessary, but I'd appreciate e-mail updates.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	I live one house away from the power lines. I am worried about EMF. More volts—more EMF.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Please revisit the underground option.
Unknown	Nov. 2013	Form	Go underground. I'd welcome rate increase to support underground power infrastructure. Get with the times. Keep new lines out of the Rural Crescent.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	I was glad you had the meeting and your representative was helpful.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Form	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project.
Warrenton	Nov. 20, 2013	Transcript	The advertising they did about this in advance was not enough. They need to publish things more. A lot of people didn't realize it started until the day before.
Manassas	Nov. 21, 2013	Form	Not informed of the project early enough. This has already caused us to spend money for improvements we would not otherwise have spent. We do not feel Dominion has done an adequate job of advising people who will be affected.
N/A	Dated Nov. 19, 2013	Letter via US Mail	<p>Property directly abuts the Wheeler Substation. Concerned about the increased operational noise levels of an expanded Wheeler Substation and the negative impact on real property value due to noise. Would appreciate confirmation of the planned equipment upgrades. Fan noise is a particular concern since current fans are already noticeable. Would like to know plans to mitigate increase in ambient noise or compensation if no plans to ensure no increased impact. Geothermal cooling would seem to be an optimal solution given efficiency, amortization, and environmental impact.</p> <p>Should the plans require an underground transmission line between Warrenton and Wheeler, we are concerned about the impact to our fencing and brick/iron driveway gate of the added 30' easement that would be necessary and would expect remuneration beyond payment for the required easements.</p> <p>Have concern about potentially elevated EMF levels due to extreme proximity.</p> <p>Though improvements were made in the past to mitigate (probably about 90%) some prior drainage/runoff issues from the Wheeler Substation onto our property, the work done has not totally addressed the issue. We certainly understand the need to accommodate the energy demands of the local community. Our goal is cooperation with these necessary modification. We also appreciate our past and ongoing relationship with NOVEC.</p>